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Objective:

Make a theory out of the idea of computation.
What is “computation”?

• Paper + Pencil Arithmetic
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• Abacus

• Calculator w/moving parts (Babbage wheels, Mark I)

• Ruler & compass geometry constructions

• Digital Computers
Further computing devices

- Programs in C, Java.
- The Internet and other distributed systems.
- Cells/DNA?
- The human brain?
- Quantum computers?

For us computation will be

*Processing information by unlimited application of a finite set of operations or rules*
What do we want in a “theory”?
What we would like to get past
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What we would like to get past

• “This must be hard because I can’t figure out how do it”

• “This must be hard because I can’t figure out how do it and neither can anybody else, including a lot of really smart people”

• “This method seems to get the right answer on every case I’ve tried”

• “It’s never crashed while I was testing it so let’s ship it”
What do we want in a “theory”?

• **Precision**
  - Mathematical, formal.
  - Can *prove* theorems about computation, both positive (what can be computed) and negative (what cannot be computed).

• **Generality**
  - Technology-independent, applies to the future as well as the present
  - Abstraction: ignores inessential details (though it may pay to restore them later)
“Inessential” details

• Simple models are easier to reason about

• Once you have some model, it may pay to restore detail previously ignored

• Example: The UPS delivery truck

• Example: Boarding an airplane
An automaton is an abstraction

A machine reading symbols from a tape

A system receiving discrete impulses over time

A chip
Representing “Information”

• Alphabet
  Ex: $a, b, c, \ldots, z$.

• Strings: finite concatenation of alphabet symbols, order matters
  Ex: $qaz, abbab$
  $\varepsilon =$ empty string (length 0; sometimes $e$)

• Inputs (& outputs) of computations are strings.
  $\Rightarrow$ we focus on discrete computations
Computational Problems (i.e. Tasks)

A single question that has infinitely many different instances

- **PARITY**: given a string $x$, does it have an even number of $a$'s?
- **MAJORITY**: given a string $x$, does it have more $a$’s than $b$’s?

Problems are defined extensionally: a problem is

- the set of all instances of the question to which the answer is positive
- the set of all $\langle$question, answer$\rangle$ pairs
Examples of computational problems on numbers

• PRIMALITY: given a number $x$, is $x$ prime?

• ADDITION: given two numbers $x, y$, compute $x + y$.

• A numerical "problem" is a set of binary or decimal numerals

Examples of computational problems about computer programs

• C SYNTAX: given a string of ASCII symbols, does it follow the syntax rules for the $C$ programming language?

• HALTING PROBLEM: given a computer program (say in $C$), can it ever get stuck in an infinite loop?
Computational problems from pure and applied mathematics

• **DIOPHANTINE EQUATIONS**: Given a polynomial equation (e.g. $x^2 + 3xyz - 44z^3 = 0$), does it have an integer solution?

• **TRAVELLING SALESMAN PROBLEM**: Given a set of ‘cities’ in the plane, what is the fastest way to visit them all?

• **GRAPH 2-COLORING (3-COLORING)**: Given a set of people, can they be partitioned into 2 groups so that every pair of people in each group gets along? (3 groups?)
More examples of computational problems

- REGISTER ALLOCATION
- MULTIPROCESSOR SCHEDULING
- PROTEIN FOLDING
- DECODING ERROR-CORRECTING CODES
- NEURON TRAINING
- AUCTION WINNER
- MIN-ENERGY CONFIGURATION OF A GAS
- ...
The (Mathematical) Idea of a Language

• A language: any set of strings.

• “Solving a yes/no computational problem”
  ⇔ “Deciding if a string is in a given language”
Examples of Languages

• All words in the *American Heritage Dictionary*  
  \{a, aah, aardvark, \ldots , zyzzva\}  
  Mathematically simple, because it’s finite!

• All strings with an even number of $a$’s.  
  \{\varepsilon, b, bb, aa, baa, aba, baa, \ldots \}  
  **Note:** “$\varepsilon$” denotes the string of length 0 – the empty string  
  Infinite – but simple membership rule

• All syntactically correct C programs  
  (counting space and newline as characters)
Computational Models

What is a computer? First try: a mathematical automaton.

We don’t care how the control is implemented – only that it have a finite number of states and change states based on fixed rules.
Kinds of Automata

Finite Automata

- Head scans left to right
- Check simple patterns
- Finite Table Lookup
- Can’t count without limit

Pushdown Automata

- Use stack to count, balance parentheses
- Check many syntax rules
A model for general-purpose computers

Turing Machines

- **Control** is still finite
- **Head** moves left and right, reads, and **writes**
Q1: What computational problems can be solved by these automata?

- Finite Automata recognize the **regular languages**.

A regular language is one that can be described by a *regular expression*, e.g.

\[
a^* \quad \text{generates} \quad \{\varepsilon, a, aa, aaa, \ldots\}
\]

\[
* = \text{“any number of”}
\]

\[
(ab)^* \quad \text{generates} \quad \{\varepsilon, ab, abab, ababab, \ldots\}
\]

\[
(a^*ab)^*a^* \quad \text{generates} \quad \{\ldots\}
\]

\[
(a \cup ab)^* \quad \text{generates} \quad \{\ldots\}
\]
Q1: What computational problems can be solved by these automata?

Pushdown Automata: the context-free languages. A PDA can determine whether or not strings are generated by any fixed context-free grammar, e.g.

\[
\begin{align*}
S & \rightarrow aSb \\
S & \rightarrow \varepsilon
\end{align*}
\]

generates \(\{\varepsilon, ab, aabb, aaabbb, \ldots\}\)

Note: this is **not** the same as \(a^*b^*\)!

\[S \Rightarrow aSb \Rightarrow aaSbb \Rightarrow aabb\]
CFGs as models for natural languages

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \text{sentence} \rangle & \rightarrow \langle \text{noun} - \text{phrase} \rangle \_ \langle \text{verb} \rangle \\
\langle \text{noun} - \text{phrase} \rangle & \rightarrow \langle \text{noun} \rangle \mid \langle \text{adjective} \rangle \_ \langle \text{noun} - \text{phrase} \rangle \\
\langle \text{noun} \rangle & \rightarrow \text{cat} \mid \text{dog} \mid \text{mouse} \\
\langle \text{adjective} \rangle & \rightarrow \text{black} \mid \text{hungry} \\
\langle \text{verb} \rangle & \rightarrow \text{jumps} \mid \text{barks}
\end{align*}
\]

generates \{ \text{black\_dog\_jumps}, \text{hungry\_black\_cat\_barks}, \ldots \}
More powerful models

Turing machines: the *computable languages*

- Captures our intuitive notion of “computable” (Church–Turing Thesis).
- TMs equivalent in expressiveness to C programs, LISP programs, Pentium CPU, (hypothetical) quantum computers, ...
- Concept of computability is independent of technology!
Church’s Thesis (Church-Turing Thesis)

Intuitive notion of “computable”

≡

Formal notion of “computable by a Turing Machine”

Are there non-computable languages?

Yes – in fact “almost all” languages are not computable

What are some examples?

[Problems to avoid!]
Q2: Are there computational problems that cannot be solved by these automata?

• Yes — in fact “almost all” problems are not computable.

• But what are some examples? [Problems to avoid!]

• A non-regular problem?

• A non-context-free problem?

• Non-computable problems?
## Classifying languages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FA/ regular?</th>
<th>PDA/ context free?</th>
<th>TM/ computable?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PARITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAJORITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRIMALITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C SYNTAX</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HALTING</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TSP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2-COLORING</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DIOPHANTINE EQ.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q3: Are there computable problems that cannot be solved efficiently?

- A problem need not be uncomputable to be practically unsolvable (It may just take too long!)

- Theory of relative difficulty of problems
  - Based on resources required:
    - Time
    - Memory
    - ...
The NP-Complete Problems

TRAVELLING SALESMAN PROBLEM, GRAPH 3-COLORING, MULTIPROCESSOR SCHEDULING, PROTEIN FOLDING, ...

Do they have efficient algorithms? Either all do or none do!

This is the famous (and still open) P vs. NP Question.
More NP-Complete Problems

• Integer Linear Program

  Is there a solution over the positive integers to a system like this?

  \[ \begin{align*}
  x_1 - 4x_2 + x_3 &= 0 \\
  x_1 + x_2 + x_3 &\leq 0 \\
  x_1 + 7x_3 &\geq 0 
  \end{align*} \]

• Boolean Satisfiability

  Are there true/false values for the variables to make this formula true?

  \[ (x \lor y \lor z) \land (\neg x \lor \neg y) \land (\neg z \lor y) \]

  \[ [\lor = \text{“or”} \quad \land = \text{“and”} \quad \neg = \text{“not”}] \]
For computer scientists

- Technology-independent foundations of CS.
- How to reason precisely about computation.
- Topics applicable to other parts of CS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circuit Design</th>
<th>Finite Automata</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distributed Computing</td>
<td>Finite Automata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsing + Compiling</td>
<td>Context-free Languages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Language Processing</td>
<td>Context-free Languages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programming Langs</td>
<td>Regular Expressions, Uncomputability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial Intelligence</td>
<td>Finite Automata, Complexity Theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algorithm Design</td>
<td>Complexity Theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptography</td>
<td>Complexity Theory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For mathematicians

A “computational perspective” on mathematics.
Ex: which is a ‘better’ formula for the $n$’th Fibonacci number $(1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21...)$?

1. $F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n$.

2. $F_n =$ the number of strings over alphabet $\{a, b\}$ of length $n - 2$ with no two consecutive $b$’s.
Connection between computation and mathematical proofs

• Uncomputability $\leftrightarrow$ Gödel’s Incompleteness Theorem.

• P vs. NP $\leftrightarrow$ “are mathematical proofs as easy to find as they are to verify?”

• Can mathematics be automatized?

Important and famous problems for Mathematics

Rich interplay between the Theory of Computation and various areas of mathematics (logic, combinatorics, algebra, number theory, probability, functional analysis, algebraic geometry, topology, ...). Many research opportunities.
For others

- How to recognize and interpret computational intractability in case it appears in your domain, e.g. PROTEIN FOLDING, NEURON TRAINING, AUCTION WINNER-DETERMINATION, MIN-ENERGY CONFIGURATION OF A GAS

- How to model computation, e.g. as it may occur in Cells/DNA, the brain, economic systems, physical systems, social networks, ...
Philosophically interesting questions

• Are there well-defined problems that cannot be solved automatically?

• Can we *always* search for a solution to a puzzle more quickly than trying all possibilities?

• Can we formalize the idea that one problem is “harder” than another?
Prerequisites

“Experience in formal mathematics at the level of CS 20.”

• Comfort reading and writing mathematical proofs.
• Sets (e.g. cardinality, powersets, cartesian products)
• Functions (e.g. one-to-one, onto, bijections)
• Relations (e.g. symmetric, transitive, reflexive)
• Graphs (e.g. directed vs. undirected)
• Proofs by induction
• Propositional logic (e.g. truth tables, De Morgan’s Laws, CNF)
• Growth rates ($O$ notation)

You do not need to know/remember all of these concepts.
Strengthening your Mathematical Preparation

• Sipser, Chapter 0.

• Problem Set 0. Graded, but will not count. Strongly recommended!

• Open sections this week.

• Office Hours (mine & the TFs).

• Course materials for CS 20.

• Books by Solow and Rosen (see syllabus).

If you have any doubts about your preparation, come talk to me!
Other Organizational Remarks

• No handouts, everything on the Web, including these slides

• Read collaboration policy carefully and respect it

• Lecture videos available 24 hours after lecture

• Sections start meeting this week, but no sectioning until next weekend

• One section will be a ”math section”

• Use Piazza for questions, course staff monitors it

• Use of LaTeX for problem sets is mandatory