

**Harvard University
Computer Science 121**

Problem Set 5

Due October 22, 2013 at 1:20 PM.

Submit your solutions electronically to cs121+ps5@seas.harvard.edu with "ps5 submission" in the subject line. The solutions to Parts A and B should be attached as separate PDF files, called lastname+ps5a.pdf and lastname+ps5b.pdf.

Late problem sets may be turned in until October 25, 2013 at 1:20 PM with a 20% penalty.

Problem set by ** ENTER YOUR NAME HERE **

Collaboration Statement: **FILL IN YOUR COLLABORATION STATEMENT HERE (See the syllabus for information)**

See syllabus for collaboration policy.

PART B (Graded by Perry)

PROBLEM 1 (3+3+3+3+3 points)

True or false? Justify your answers.

- (A) $\{a^{n^2} : n \geq 0\}$ is context free.
- (B) $\{a^n b^m : n < m < 2n\}$ is context free.
- (C) $\{a^n b^* a^n b^* a^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is context free.
- (D) If L is context-free and R is regular, then $L - R$ is context-free.
- (E) If L is context-free and R is regular, then $R - L$ is context-free.

(A) False. Suppose $\{a^{n^2} : n \geq 0\}$ were context free, and so had pumping length p . Consider a^{p^2} , which has partitioning $uvxyz$. $uv^2xy^2z = a^{p^2+|vy|}$. Since $|vxy| \leq p$, $1 \leq |vy| \leq p$, it follows that uv^2xy^2z has length between $p^2 + 1$ and $p^2 + p = p(p + 1)$. But, p^2 and $(p + 1)^2$ are consecutive squares, so any number strictly between them cannot be a square. Thus, uv^2xy^2z cannot have a length which is a perfect square, and so is not in $\{a^{n^2} : n \geq 0\}$, contradicting that it is context free.

(B) True. It is generated by the grammar:

$$S \rightarrow aSb | aSbb | aabbb$$

All strings generated by the grammar are in $\{a^n b^m : n < m < 2n\}$: Let s be the number of steps in the derivation of some string w . If $s = 1$, then $w = aabbb$, and $n = 2 < m = 3 < 2n = 4$, as desired. Next, assume that all strings derived in S or fewer steps are in the desired set. Then, consider a derivation of length $S + 1$. The first step of this derivation adds 1 a, and either 1 or 2 b's, followed by a derivation of length S . Say that this derivation of length S added n a's and m b's to the string. Then this derivation of length $S + 1$ has $n + 1$ a's, and either $m + 1$ or $m + 2$ b's. Observe that since $n < m < 2n$, it follows that $n + 1 < m + 1 < 2n + 2$, and $n + 1 < m + 2 < 2n + 2$. So, the derivation of length $S + 1$ generates a string in the set as desired.

Every string in $\{a^n b^m : n < m < 2n\}$ is generated by the grammar: To generate $a^n b^m$ such that $n < m < 2n$, follow the first rule $2n - 1 - m$ times, the second rule $m - n - 1$ times, and

the last rule once. This will generate a string of $2n - 1 - m + m - n - 1 + 2 = n$ a 's, followed by $2n - 1 - m + 2m - 2n - 2 + 3 = m$ b 's, as desired.

(C) False. Let $L = \{a^n b^* a^n b^* a^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since CFL are closed under intersection with regular languages, then if L is regular then so is $L' = L \cap a^* b a^* b a^*$. So, suppose L' were context free with pumping length p . Consider $w = a^p b a^p b a^p$, with partitioning $w = uvxyz$. Neither v nor y contains a b , since then uxz would have fewer than 2 b 's and not be in the language. So, v and y each must be entirely within one of the groups of a 's. So, uxz contains fewer a 's in at least one of the groups of a 's, but not in all 3. So, there must be one group of a 's in uxz that has p a 's, and one group that has $< p$ a 's, and so $uxz \notin L'$, contradicting that L' is context free, and so that L is context free.

(D) True. $L - R = L \cap \overline{R}$, which must be CF since CFLs are closed under intersection with regular languages, and regular languages are closed under complement.

(E) False. Let $R = \Sigma^*$. The claim then becomes equivalent to the following: if L is CF, then so is \overline{L} . However, since CFLs are not closed under complement, this is false.

PROBLEM 2 (5 points)

Prove that if M is a PDA and there exists a number k such that for all $w \in L(M)$, the size of the stack is at most k in each step of every possible computation of w on M , then $L(M)$ is regular.

(A)

The main idea is extract the finite automata component of PDA M and create multiple copies of it, one for each possible stack configuration of M . This enables us to simulate the computation of M without a stack, hence giving us an NFA recognizing $L(M)$.

Formally, let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$. Since the stack length never exceeds k (on an accepting computation), consider the set $S = \{s \in \Gamma^* : |s| \leq k\}$. The size of S is bounded by $|\Gamma + 1|^k$ and hence is finite.

Our new NFA N will consist of $|S|$ copies of the states Q , one for each $s \in S$. The computation on N starts with the start state of Q_ϵ , denoted as (q_0, ϵ) . The formal description of $N = (Q', \Sigma, \delta', q'_0, F')$ follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Q' &= Q \times S, \\ \delta'((q, s\gamma), \sigma) &= \{(q', s\gamma') : (q', \gamma') \in \delta(q, \sigma, \gamma) \text{ and } s\gamma, s\gamma' \in S\}, \\ q'_0 &= (q_0, \epsilon) \\ F' &= F \times S. \end{aligned}$$

The equivalence of the PDA M and the NFA N can be shown as follows: Observe that there is a transition in N from (q, t) to (q', t') after reading σ , if and only if there is a transition in M upon reading σ which starts in state q with stack t , and ends in state q' with stack t' , and $t, t' \in S$. It follows that there is computation in M which has only stack states in S and ends in a state in F , iff there is a computation in N which ends in a state in $F \times S = F'$. But these are exactly the accepting computations in the respective automata. So, since they accept all and only the same strings, they accept the same language, as desired.

PROBLEM 3 (Challenge! 3 points)

Write a context-sensitive grammar for the language $\{a^n b^n c^n : n \geq 0\}$.

$$S \rightarrow D_A D_B D_C$$

$$D_A \rightarrow \varepsilon \mid D_A A$$

$$D_B \rightarrow \varepsilon$$

$$D_C \rightarrow \varepsilon$$

$$Aa \rightarrow aA$$

$$Bb \rightarrow bB$$

$$AD_B \rightarrow aD_B B$$

$$BD_C \rightarrow bD_C c$$

The grammar works as follows: You start with $D_A D_B D_C$. These each represent dividers, separating the string into equal sections of A s, B s, and C s. They can all transition to ε at any time to be removed from the string. D_A can also produce any number of A 's. Each time an A is added to the string, it can be removed (and so create a valid string of all terminals) in only one way - by having that A switch position with each of the a 's until it is adjacent to D_B , turning into $aD_B B$. This adds an a in the string in the correct place, but then creates a B which can also be removed in only one way - switching places with b 's until adjacent with D_C , at which point it generates an additional b and c in the correct places. The result of this entire process is that for each A added, exactly 1 a , b , and c is added in the string in the right place.

So, let the number of A 's generated by D_A (number of times $D_A \rightarrow D_A A$ is used in the derivation of a string) be n . By above, this adds n each of a 's, b 's, and c 's. And, all a 's are between D_A and D_B , all b 's between D_B and D_C , and all c 's after D_C . So, this derives the string $a^n b^n c^n$. Since the grammar can generate all and only strings of that form, its language is as desired.