

# CS 121 Section 6

Harvard University

October 24, 2013

## 1 Overview

This week we will discuss Turing machines and the Church-Turing thesis.

### 1.1 Turing Machines

Formally, a Turing machine is a 7-tuple  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}})$ , where

- $Q$  is the set of states.
- $\Sigma$  is the input alphabet.
- $\Gamma$  is the tape alphabet. We need  $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$  and we have a special blank symbol  $\sqcup \in \Gamma - \Sigma$ .
- $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}$  is the transition function.
- $q_0, q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}} \in Q$  are the start state, accept state, and reject state respectively

A Turing machine configuration is a string  $uqv \in \Gamma^*Q\Gamma^*$  that encodes (i) the state  $q$  of  $M$ , (ii) the tape contents  $uv$ , and (iii) the location of the head within the tape. Note that we ignore trailing blanks so  $uqv\sqcup$  and  $uqv$  are considered equivalent configurations.

Configurations are updated as follows.

- $uq\sigma v \rightarrow u\sigma'q'v$  if  $\delta(q, \sigma) = (q', \sigma', R)$ .
- $u\tau qv\sigma \rightarrow uq'\tau\sigma'v$  if  $\delta(q, \sigma) = (q', \sigma', L)$ .
- $q\sigma v \rightarrow q'\sigma'v$  if  $\delta(q, \sigma) = (q', \sigma', L)$ .

A computation starts in the configuration  $q_0x$ , where  $x$  is the input. It accepts if it enters a configuration of the form  $uq_{\text{accept}}v$ . It rejects if it enters a configuration of the form  $uq_{\text{reject}}v$ . It is possible that  $M$  never accepts and never rejects; if this happens, we say that  $M$  does not halt.

We say that a language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is *recognized* by a Turing machine  $M$  if  $M$  accepts every  $x \in L$ . If we also have that  $M$  rejects every  $x \in \Sigma^* - L$ , then we say that  $L$  is *decided* by  $M$ .

## 1.2 Church-Turing thesis

The Church-Turing thesis is the assertion that Turing machines capture our intuitive notion of computability. This is not a mathematical statement! We cannot prove it, but we have good reasons to believe it nonetheless. There are many equivalent models of computation. (e.g. General grammars (PS6), multitape TMs, recursive functions,  $\lambda$ -calculus.)

## 2 Exercises

**Exercise 2.1.** *Construct a TM that decides the language  $L = \{a^n b^m c^{n+m} : n, m \geq 0\}$ .*

**Exercise 2.2.** *Show that the language*

$$L = \{\langle M, w \rangle : M \text{ never moves its head left when running on } w\}$$

*is recognizable.*

**Exercise 2.3.** *Imagine a special Turing Machine with a 2-dimensional tape. So instead of the usual linear tape this TM has an infinite upper-right quadrant where the head starts at position  $(0, 0)$ . Upon reading each symbol this 2D Tape TM can choose to move left, right, up, or down (but of course cannot move off the edge). The input string  $w$  will start along the bottom row of the 2D tape, from positions  $(0, 0)$  to  $(n, 0)$ . Show that this 2D Tape TM is no more powerful than a standard TM by simulating a 2D Tape TM with a normal one.*

**Exercise 2.4.** *Show that we can assume that a TM always halts with an empty tape. That is, show how to convert a TM  $M$  into a TM  $M'$  with  $L(M) = L(M')$  where the configuration of  $M'$  when it accepts or rejects is either  $q_{\text{accept}}$  or  $q_{\text{reject}}$  and not  $uq_{\text{accept}}v$  or  $uq_{\text{reject}}v$  with  $uv \notin \{\square\}^*$ .*